



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2001

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/177,572	10/23/1998	YOSHIHIRO TERASHIMA	35.C13035	3325	
5514 7:	590 12/17/2001				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 \mathcal{N}

<u> </u>		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/177,572		TERASHIMA ET AL.	∞			
		Examiner		Art Unit	4.1			
		Kevin M. Nguyen		2674				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		neet with the co	rrespondence addres	s			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sistons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX, cause the application to be	may a reply be time m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. The mailing date of this commured (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status		2.1. 2221						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 (1					
2a)☐	,—	is action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment	•		••					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on 10/17/2001 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/177,572 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwasaki (US 4,745,485) in view of Ebihara et al hereinafter Ebihara (US 4,864,402).
- 5. As to claim 13, Iwasaki teaches a memory controller which includes data signal input from the S/P converter 2 converts the serial video signal to a parallel signal for

Art Unit: 2674

every eight bits. The parallel video signal converted by the S/P converter 2 is supplied to a latch circuit 3 (first FIFO memory). The latch circuit 3 (FIFO3) is supplied to frame memories 4 and 5 serve to store for one frame (col. 3, line 29), a latch circuit 6 (second memory) for the data read out (see figure 1, column 3, lines 18-29). The picture element data is displayed with good quality on the liquid crystal display (10) by using the frame memories (4 and 5) corresponding to one frame (see abstract). Therefore, Iwasaki teaches all of the claimed limitations of claim 13, except for "a frame merry having a capacity of a single frame, a continuous period of writing into and reading from said frame memory is designed as an L cycle, a single time of continuous writing period and two times of continuous reading period are performed during a period N*L....." However, Ebihara teaches a related video memory having positively a single memory structure (see col. 3, lines 26-30), the output data is supplied through the multiplier 5 to the adder 3 thereby to form a kind of cyclic-type filter (a continuous period of writing into and reading from said frame memory is designed as a L cycle, col. 5, lines 49-51), a write address signal circuit 13, first read address signal circuit 14, and a second read address signal circuit 14A (see Fig. 5), the read address signal is higher than the write address signal in frequency with a shorter cycle (an instruction period instructing the memory necessary for performing continuous access to the frame memory is shorter than a remaining period, col. 2, lines 56-57). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the additional video memory taught by Ebihara in the memory controller of Iwasaki's LCD system in order to improve the quality of picture while achieving the functions of a time base corrector, a noise

Art Unit: 2674

reducer and a comb filter by a single memory structure without making the peripheral circuit complicated (see col. 3, lines 25-34 of Ehihara).

- 6. As to claim 14, Iwasaki teaches the driver 9 drives the liquid crystal display 10 (see Fig. 1, column 3, lines 51-52).
- 7. As to claim 15, Iwasaki teaches a memory controller which includes data signal input from the S/P converter 2 converts the serial video signal to a parallel signal for every eight bits. The parallel video signal converted by the S/P converter 2 is supplied to a latch circuit 3 (first FIFO memory). The latch circuit 3 (FIFO3) is supplied to frame memories 4 and 5 serve to store for one frame (col. 3, line 29), a latch circuit 6 (second memory) for the data read out (see figure 1, column 3, lines 18-29). The picture element data is displayed with good quality on the liquid crystal display (10) by using the frame memories (4 and 5) corresponding to one frame (see abstract). Therefore, Iwasaki teaches all of the claimed limitations of claim 13, except for "a frame memory having a capacity of a single frame, a continuous period of writing into and reading from said frame memory is designed as an L cycle, a single time of continuous writing period and two times of continuous reading period are performed during a period N*L....." However, Ebihara teaches a related video memory having positively a single memory structure (see col. 3, lines 26-30), the output data is supplied through the multiplier 5 to the adder 3 thereby to form a kind of cyclic-type filter (a continuous period of writing into and reading from said frame memory is designed as a L cycle, col. 5, lines 49-51), a write address signal circuit 13, first read address signal circuit 14, and a second read address signal circuit 14A (see Fig. 5), the read address signal is higher than the write

Art Unit: 2674

address signal in frequency with a shorter cycle (an instruction period instructing the memory necessary for performing continuous access to the frame memory is shorter than a remaining period, col. 2, lines 56-57), the frame delay circuit 4 operates at low speed (see col. 1, lines 33-34). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the additional video memory taught by Ebihara in the memory controller of lwasaki's LCD system in order to improve the quality of picture while achieving the functions of a time base corrector, a noise reducer and a comb filter by a single memory structure without making the peripheral circuit complicated (see col. 3, lines 25-34 of Ehihara).

8. As to claim 16, Iwasaki teaches the driver 9 drives the liquid crystal display 10 (see Fig. 1, column 3, lines 51-52).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicants argues that in claim 13 recites "a frame memory having a capacity of a single frame." This argument are not persuasive because Ehihara's invention teaches a video memory having positively a single memory structure (see col. 3, lines 26-30). These arguments are not persuasive because this would improve the quality of picture while achieving the functions of a time base corrector, a noise reducer and a comb filter by a single memory structure without making the peripheral circuit complicated (see col. 3, lines 25-34 of Ehihara).
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 form.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kevin M. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-6209**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard Hjerpe** can be reached on **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/177,572

Art Unit: 2674

Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2674

ΚN

December 10, 2001

RICHARD HJERPE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600